Lecture 14

Multidimensional Scaling

Reading: Izenman Chapter 13 The main reference for these slides is from Dr. Markus Kalisch's Lecture Notes at https://stat.ethz.ch/education/semesters/ ss2012/ams/slides/v4.1.pdf

DSA 8070 Multivariate Analysis

Whitney Huang Clemson University



Notes

Notes

Principal Component Analysis and Multidimensional Scaling

• Principal Component Analysis (PCA):

In PCA, one starts with n data points $oldsymbol{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$, then tries to find a low-dimensional projection of these points, e.g., $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^r$ with r < p, in such a way as to maximize the variance (thus minimizing the reconstruction error)

• Multidimensional Scaling (MDS):

In MDS, instead of being given the data $\boldsymbol{Y} = \{\boldsymbol{y}_i\}_{i=1}^n,$ a matrix of distances or dissimilarities between the data points, $\boldsymbol{D} = \{d_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ is provided. The goal of MDS is to find a set of points in a low-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^r , usually r = 2, whose inter-point distances are as close as possible to the $\{d_{ij}\}$ distances



Basic Idea of MDS

Represent a high-dimensional point cloud in a low (usually 2)-dimensional Euclidean space while preserving, as closely as possible, the inter-point distances. Commonly used MDS methods include classical/metric MDS and non-metric MDS:

- Classical/Metric MDS: Use a clever projection
- Non-metric MDS: Squeeze data on table



Source: Dr. Markus Kalisch's Lecture Notes on MDS

Multidimension Scaling
Main Idea

Notes

Classical MDS (cMDS)

- **Goal**: Given pairwise distances among points, recover the position of the points!
- Example: Distance between 10 US major cities

	Atlanta	Chicago	Denver	Houston	LosAngeles	Miami	NewYork	SanFrancisco	Seattle
Chicago	587								
Denver	1212	920							
Houston	701	940	879						
LosAngeles	1936	1745	831	1374					
Miami	604	1188	1726	968	2339				
NewYork	748	713	1631	1420	2451	1092			
SanFrancisco	2139	1858	949	1645	347	2594	2571		
Seattle	2182	1737	1021	1891	959	2734	2408	678	
Washington.DC	543	597	1494	1220	2300	923	205	2442	2329



Notes

Classical MDS: Flip	Axes	M
<pre># Flip Axes x1 <loc[, ,<="" 1];="" <="" plot(x1,="" th="" type="n" y1="" y1,=""><th><pre>loc[, 2] xlab = "", ylab = "", asp = 1, = "cmdscale(UScitiesD)") c), cex = 0.8)</pre></th><th>Ma Cla Scc Nor Mu</th></loc[,></pre>	<pre>loc[, 2] xlab = "", ylab = "", asp = 1, = "cmdscale(UScitiesD)") c), cex = 0.8)</pre>	Ma Cla Scc Nor Mu
c	mdscale(UScitiesD)	
Seattle	NewYork Chicago Washington.DC	
t	Denver	
anFrancisco	Atlanta	
LosAngeles		
	Houston Miami	

nensional aling	
<u>AS</u>	
l ensional	

14.7

Itidii Sc

Notes

Another Example: Air Pollution in US Cities

> summary(dat)			
S02	temp	manu	popul
Min. : 8.00	Min. :43.50	Min. : 35.0	Min. : 71.0
1st Qu.: 13.00	1st Qu.:50.60	1st Qu.: 181.0	1st Qu.: 299.0
Median : 26.00	Median :54.60	Median : 347.0	Median : 515.0
Mean : 30.05	Mean :55.76	Mean : 463.1	Mean : 608.6
3rd Qu.: 35.00	3rd Qu.:59.30	3rd Qu.: 462.0	3rd Qu.: 717.0
Max. :110.00	Max. :75.50	Max. :3344.0	Max. :3369.0
wind	precip	predays	
Min. : 6.000	Min. : 7.05	Min. : 36.0	
1st Qu.: 8.700	1st Qu.:30.96	1st Qu.:103.0	
Median : 9.300	Median :38.74	Median :115.0	
Mean : 9.444	Mean :36.77	Mean :113.9	
3rd Qu.:10.600	3rd Qu.:43.11	3rd Qu.:128.0	
Max. :12.700	Max. :59.80	Max. :166.0	

- Range of manu and popul is much bigger than range of wind
- Need to standardize to give every variable equal weight

CLEMS
Main Idea
Classical Multidimensional Scaling

Multidimer

Notes





Classical MDS: Technical Details

- Input: $D = \{d_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$, the Euclidean distances between n objects in p dimensions
- **Output**: $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$, the "position" of points up to rotation, reflection, shift
- Two steps:
 - Compute inner products matrix $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{X}^T$ from distance

$$b_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}(d_{ij}^2 - d_{i.}^2 - d_{.j}^2 + d_{..}^2)$$

• Perform spectral decomposition to compute positions from *B* (see next slide)

	Multidimensio Scaling
(U	
	Classical Multidimensiona Scaling

Notes



Classical MDS: Technical Details

- Since $B = XX^T$, we need the "square root" of B
- Since B is a symmetric and positive definite $n \times n$ matrix $\Rightarrow B$ can be diagonalized:

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{V}^T$$

 Λ is a diagnoal matrix with $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ on diagonal

 Assuming the rank of B = p, so that the last n − p of its eigenvalues will be zero ⇒ B can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{V}_1 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1 \boldsymbol{V}_1^T,$$

where V_1 contains the first p eigenvectors and Λ_1 the p non-zero eigenvalues. Take "square root": $X = V_1 \Lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

Notes

Classical MDS: Low-Dimensional Representation

- Keep only few (e.g. 2) largest eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors
- The resulting *X* will be the low-dimensional representation we were looking for
- "Goodness of fit" (GOF) if we reduce to *r* dimensions:

$$\text{GOF} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i}$$

• Finds "optimal" low-dim representation:

Find
$$x_1, \cdots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^r$$

to minimize $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (d_{ij} - d(x_i, x_j))^2$

Multidimensional Scaling Main Idea Classical Multidimensional Scaling Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling

Classical MDS: Pros and Cons

- + Optimal for Euclidean input data
- + Still optimal, if B has non-negative eigenvalues
- + Very fast to compute
- - There is no guarantee it will be optimal if *B* has negative eigenvalues

Multidimensional Scaling
Classical Multidimensional Scaling

Notes

Notes



Non-metric MDS: Idea

- Sometimes, there is no well-defined metric on original points
- Absolute values are not as meaningful, but the ranking is important, for example, in ordinal data and survey data (subjective preferences)
- Non-metric MDS finds a low-dimensional representation, which respects the ranking of distances

LEMS
Ion-metric Aultidimensional Scaling

Non-metric MDS: Theory

- δ_{ij} is the true dissimilarity, d_{ij} is the distance of representation
- Minimize STRESS:

 $S = \frac{\sum_{i < j} \left(\theta(\delta_{ij}) - d_{ij}\right)^2}{\sum_{i < j} d_{ij}^2},$

where $\theta(\cdot)$ is an increasing function

- $\bullet\,$ Optimize over both position of points and θ
- $\hat{d}_{ij} = \theta(\delta_{ij})$ is called "disparity"
- Solved numerically (isotonic regression); Classical MDS as starting value; very time consuming

Non-metric MDS: Pros andn Cons

- +: Fulfills a clear objective (minimize STRESS) without many assumptions
- +: Results don't change with rescaling or monotonic variable transformation
- +: Works even if you only have rank information
- -: computation can be slow in "large" problems
- -: Usually only local (not global) optimum found
- -: Only gets ranks of distances right

Multidimensional Scaling
Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling

Notes



House of Representatives Voting Data

Romesburg (1984) gives a set of data that shows the number of times 15 congressmen from New Jersey voted differently in the House of Representatives on 19 environmental bills

> voting[1:6, 1:6]							
	Hunt(R)	Sandman(R)	Howard(D)	Thompson(D)	Freylinghuysen(R)	Forsythe(R)	
Hunt(R)	Θ	8	15	15	10	9	
Sandman(R)	8	Θ	17	12	13	13	
Howard(D)	15	17	Θ	9	16	12	
Thompson(D)	15	12	9	Θ	14	12	
Freylinghuysen(R)	10	13	16	14	0	8	
Forsythe(R)	9	13	12	12	8	Θ	

Question: Do people in the same party vote alike?



Notes

Kruskal's Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling in R

Usage

isoMDS(d, y = cmdscale(d, k), k = 2, maxit = 50, trace = TRUE, tol = 1e-3, p = 2)

Voting Example

Scaling Main Idea Classical Multidimensional Scaling Non-metric

Non-metric MDS: Voting Example



Notes



Summary

• Classical MDS:

- Finds low-dim projection that respects distances
- Optimal for euclidean distances
- No clear guarantees for other distances
- Fast to compute (can use cmdscale in R)

• Non-metric MDS:

- Squeezes data points on table
- Respects only rankings of distances
- (Locally) solves clear objective
- Computation can be slow (can use isoMDS from the R package "MASS")



Notes