Lecture 7

Multivariate Linear Regression

Readings: Johnson & Wichern 2007, Chapter 7; DSA 8020 Lectures 1-4 [Link]; Zelterman, 2015, Chapter 9

DSA 8070 Multivariate Analysis

Whitney Huang
Clemson University

Notes

Agenda

1. Model and Assumptions
2. Parameter Estimation
3. Inference and Prediction

Notes

Example: Motor Trend Car Road Tests

```
> head(mtcars)
                   mpg cyl disp  hp drat    wt  qsec vs am gear carb
Mazda RX4         21.0   6  160 110 3.90 2.620 16.46  0  1    4    4
Mazda RX4 Wag     21.0   6  160 110 3.90 2.875 17.02  0  1    4    4
Datsun 710        22.8   4  108  93 3.85 2.320 18.61  1  1    4    1
Hornet 4 Drive    21.4   6  258 110 3.08 3.215 19.44  1  0    3    1
Hornet Sportabout 18.7   8  360 175 3.15 3.440 17.02  0  0    3    2
Valiant           18.1   6  225 105 2.76 3.460 20.22  1  0    3    1
```

Suppose we would like to study the (linear) relationship between `mpg`, `disp`, `hp`, `wt` (responses) and `cyl`, `am`, `carb` (predictors)

Notes

Review: Linear Regression Model

The multiple linear regression model has the form:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j x_{ij} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n,$$

where

- y_i is the response for the i-th observation
- x_{ij} is the j-th predictor for the i-th observation
- β_0 and β_j's are the regression intercept and slopes for the response, respectively
- ε_i is the error term for the response of the i-th observation

Notes

The Multivariate Linear Regression Model: Scalar Form

The multivariate (multiple) linear regression model has the form:

$$y_{ik} = \beta_{0k} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{jk} x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ik}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n, \quad k = 1, \cdots, d,$$

where

- y_{ik} is the k-th response for the i-th observation
- x_{ij} is the j-th predictor for the i-th observation
- β_{0k} and β_{jk}'s are the regression intercept and slopes for k-th response, respectively
- ε_{ik} is the error term for the k-th response of the i-th observation

Notes

The Multivariate Linear Regression Model: Assumptions

The assumptions of the model are:

- Relationship between $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{p}$ and Y_k is linear for each $k \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$
- $(\varepsilon_{i1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{id})^T \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ is an unobserved random vector
- $[Y_{ik} | x_{i1}, \cdots, x_{ip}] \sim \mathrm{N}(\beta_{0k} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{jk} x_{ij}, \sigma_{kk})$ for each $k \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$

Notes

The Multivariate Linear Regression Model: Matrix Form

The multivariate multiple linear regression model has the form

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{E},$$

where

- $\boldsymbol{Y} = [\boldsymbol{y}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{y}_d]$ is the $n \times d$ response matrix, where $\boldsymbol{y}_k = (y_{1k}, \cdots, y_{nk})^T$ is the k-th response vector
- $\boldsymbol{X} = [\boldsymbol{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_p]$ is the $n \times (p+1)$ design matrix
- $\boldsymbol{B} = [\boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_d]$ is the $(p+1) \times d$ matrix of regression coefficients
- $\boldsymbol{E} = [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d]$ is the $n \times d$ error matrix

Notes

Another Look of the Matrix Form

Matrix form writes the multivariate linear regression model for all $n \times d$ points simultaneously as

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{E}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} & \cdots & y_{1d} \\ y_{21} & \cdots & y_{2d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{n1} & \cdots & y_{nd} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & x_{1p} \\ 1 & \cdots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{01} & \cdots & \beta_{0d} \\ \beta_{11} & \cdots & \beta_{1d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{p1} & \cdots & \beta_{pd} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1d} \\ \varepsilon_{21} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{n1} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{nd} \end{bmatrix}$$

Assuming that n subjects are independent, we have

- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k \sim \mathrm{N}(0, \sigma_{kk}), \quad k \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$
- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \Sigma), \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$

Notes

Ordinary Least Squares

The ordinary least squares OLS estimate is

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{(p+1)\times d}}{\operatorname{argmin}} ||\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B}||^2 = \underset{\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{(p+1)\times d}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(y_{ik} - \beta_{0k} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{jk} x_{ij} \right)^2,$$

where $|| \cdot ||$ denotes the Frobenius norm.

- $\mathrm{OLS}(\boldsymbol{B}) = ||\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B}||^2 = \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{Y}^T Y) - 2\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{Y}^T \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B}) + \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B})$
- $\frac{\partial \mathrm{OLS}(\boldsymbol{B})}{\partial \boldsymbol{B}} = -2\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{Y} + 2\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B}$

The OLS estimate has the form

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{Y} \Rightarrow \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_k = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}_k, \quad k \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$$

Notes

Expected Value of Least Squares Coefficients

The expected value of the estimated coefficients is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{Y}\right] \\ &= (\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Y}) \\ &= (\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B} \\ &= \boldsymbol{B}\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\boldsymbol{B}$

Notes

Fitted Values and Residuals

- Fitted values are given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{Y}} = \boldsymbol{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{B}},$$

i.e., $\hat{y}_{ik} = \hat{\beta}_{0k} + \sum_{j=1}^{p}\hat{\beta}_{jk}x_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n, \quad k = 1, \cdots, d$

- Residuals are given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = \boldsymbol{Y} - \hat{\boldsymbol{Y}},$$

i.e., $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ik} = y_{ik} - \hat{y}_{ik}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n, \quad k = 1, \cdots, d$

Notes

Hat Matrix

Just like in univariate linear regression we can write the fitted values as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\boldsymbol{Y}} &= \boldsymbol{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \\ &= \boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{Y} \\ &= \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{Y},\end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T$ is the hat matrix

$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{H}$ projects $\boldsymbol{y}_k$ onto the column space of $\boldsymbol{X}$ for $k \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$

Notes

Partitioning the Total Variation

We can partition the total covariation in $\{\boldsymbol{y}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ ($\mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{Tot}}$)as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{tot}} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\boldsymbol{y}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}})^T(\boldsymbol{y}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\boldsymbol{y}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i + \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}})(\boldsymbol{y}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i + \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}})^T \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}})^T}_{\mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{Reg}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (\boldsymbol{y}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i)(\boldsymbol{y}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i)^T}_{\mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{Err}}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{2\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}})(\boldsymbol{y}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i)}_{=0} \\ &= \mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{Reg}} + \mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{Err}}\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding degrees of freedom are $d(n-1)$ for $\mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{Tot}}$; dp for $\mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{Reg}}$; and $d(n-p-1)$ for $\mathrm{SSCP}_{\mathrm{Err}}$

Notes

Estimated Error Covariance

The estimated error covariance matrix is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\boldsymbol{y}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i)(\boldsymbol{y}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i)^T}{n-p-1} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{SSCP}_{Err}}{n-p-1}\end{aligned}$$

- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ is an unbiased estimate of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
- The estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ is the mean SSCP_{Err}

Notes

Sampling Distributions of $\hat{B}$, $\hat{Y}$, and $\hat{E}$

We would need to figure out the sampling distributions of estimator and predictor in order to drawn inference

Given the model assumptions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vec}(\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}) &\sim \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{vec}(\boldsymbol{B}), \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes (\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}) \\ \mathrm{vec}(\hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}) &\sim \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{vec}(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{B}), \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{H}) \\ \mathrm{vec}(\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}) &\sim \mathrm{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{H})),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{vec}(\cdot)$ is the vectorization operator and $\otimes$ is the Kronecker product

Notes

Inference about Multiple $\hat{\beta}_{jk}$

Assume that $q < p$ and want to test if a reduced model is sufficient:

$$H_0 : \boldsymbol{B}_2 = \boldsymbol{0}_{p-q} \times d, \quad \text{versus} \quad H_a : \boldsymbol{B}_2 \neq \boldsymbol{0}_{p-q} \times d,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{B}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{B}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the partitioned of the coefficient vector

We can compare the SSCP_{Err} for the full model:

$$y_{ik} = \beta_{0k} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{jk} x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ik}, \quad k - 1, \cdots, d$$

and the reduced model:

$$y_{ik} = \beta_{0k} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{jk} x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ik}, \quad k - 1, \cdots, d$$

Notes

Some Test Statistics

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}} = n\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ denote the SSCP_{Err} matrix from the full model, and let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}} = n\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_1 - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\right)$ denote the hypothesis SSCP_{Err} matrix

Some test statistics for

$$H_0 : \boldsymbol{B}_2 = \boldsymbol{0}_{p-q} \times d, \quad \text{versus} \quad H_a : \boldsymbol{B}_2 \neq \boldsymbol{0}_{p-q} \times d :$$

- Wilks Lambda

$$\Lambda^* = \frac{|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}|}{|\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}|}$$

  Reject H_0 if Λ^* is "small"

- Hotelling-Lawley Trace

$$T_0^2 = \text{tr}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{-1})$$

  Reject H_0 if T_0^2 is "large"

- Pillai Trace

$$V = \text{tr}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{E}})^{-1})$$

  Reject H_0 if V is "large"

Notes

Interval Estimation

We would like to estimate the expected value of the response for a given predictor $\boldsymbol{x}_h = (1, x_{h1}, \cdots, x_{hp})$.

Note that we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_h \sim \text{N}(\boldsymbol{B}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h, \boldsymbol{x}_h^T(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_h\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

We can exploit the duality between interval estimation and hypothesis testing. That is, we can test

$$H_0 : \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{y}_h) = \boldsymbol{y}_h^* \text{ versus } H_a : \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{y}_h) \neq \boldsymbol{y}_h^*$$

> The $100(1-\alpha)$% confidence region is the collection of $\boldsymbol{y}_h^*$ values that fail to reject H_0 at α level

Notes

Interval Estimation (Cont'd)

Test statistics:

$$T^2 = \left(\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h - \boldsymbol{B}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}_h^T(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_h}}\right)^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \left(\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h - \boldsymbol{B}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}_h^T(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_h}}\right)$$
$$\overset{H_0}{\sim} \frac{d(n-p-1)}{n-p-d} F_{d,n-p-d}$$

Therefore, the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ simultaneous confidence interval for y_{hk} is

$$\hat{y}_{hk} \pm \sqrt{\frac{d(n-p-1)}{n-p-d} F_{d,n-p-d}} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}_h^T(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_h \hat{\sigma}_{kk}},$$

$k \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$

Notes

Predicting New Observations

Multivariate Linear Regression
CLEMSON UNIVERSITY
Model and Assumptions
Parameter Estimation
Inference and Prediction

Here we want to predict the observed value of response for a given predictor

- **Note**: interested in actual $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_h$ instead of $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_h)$
- Given $\boldsymbol{x}_h = (1, x_{h1}, \cdots, x_{hp})$, the fitted value is still $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_h = \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h$

We can exploit the duality between interval estimation and hypothesis testing. That is, we can test

$$H_0 : \boldsymbol{y}_h = \boldsymbol{y}_h^* \text{ versus } H_a : \boldsymbol{y}_h \neq \boldsymbol{y}_h^*$$

> The $100(1-\alpha)\%$ prediction interval is the collection of $\boldsymbol{y}_h^*$ values that fail to reject H_0 at α level

Notes

Predicting New Observations (Cont'd)

Multivariate Linear Regression
CLEMSON UNIVERSITY
Model and Assumptions
Parameter Estimation
Inference and Prediction

Test statistics:

$$T^2 = \left(\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h - \boldsymbol{B}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h}{\sqrt{1+\boldsymbol{x}_h^T(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_h}}\right)^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \left(\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h - \boldsymbol{B}^T\boldsymbol{x}_h}{\sqrt{1+\boldsymbol{x}_h^T(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_h}}\right)$$
$$\overset{H_0}{\sim} \frac{d(n-p-1)}{n-p-d} F_{d,n-p-d}$$

Therefore, the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ simultaneous prediction interval for y_{hk} is

$$\hat{y}_{hk} \pm \sqrt{\frac{d(n-p-1)}{n-p-d} F_{d,n-p-d}} \sqrt{\left(1+\boldsymbol{x}_h^T(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_h\right) \hat{\sigma}_{kk}},$$

$k \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$

Notes

Summary

In this lecture, we learned about Multivariate Linear Regression

- Model and Assumptions
- Parameter Estimation
- Inference and Prediction

In the next lecture, we will learn about Repeated Measures Analysis

Notes

Notes

Notes