## Lecture 9

Principle Component Analysis
Reading: Zelterman Chapter 8.1-8.4; Izenman Chapter 7.1-7.2
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Reduce the dimensionality of a data set in which there is a large number (i.e., $p$ is "large") of inter-related variables while retaining as much as possible the variation in the original set of variables

- The reduction is achieved by transforming the original variables to a new set of variables, "principal components", that are uncorrelated
- These principal components are ordered such that the first few retains most of the variation present in the data
- Goals/Objectives
- Reduction and summary
- Study the structure of covariance/correlation matrix


## Some Applications

- Interpretation (by studying the structure of covariance/correlation matrix)
- Select a sub-set of the original variables, that are uncorrelated to each other, to be used in other multivariate procedures (e.g., multiple regression, classification)
- Detect outliers or clusters of multivariate observations
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## Multivariate Data

We display a multivariate data that contains $n$ units on $p$ variables using a matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{1,1} & X_{2,1} & \cdots & X_{p, 1} \\
X_{1,2} & X_{2,2} & \cdots & X_{p, 2} \\
\vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
X_{1, n} & X_{2, n} & \cdots & X_{p, n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Summary Statistics

- Mean Vector: $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}=\left(\bar{X}_{1}, \bar{X}_{2}, \cdots, \bar{X}_{p}\right)^{T}$, where $\bar{X}_{j}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{j, i}}{n}, \quad j=1, \cdots, p$
- Covariance Matrix: $\Sigma=\left\{\sigma_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{p}$, where
$\sigma_{i i}=\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right), i=1, \cdots, p$ and
$\sigma_{i j}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right), i \neq j$
Next, we are going to discuss how to find principa components
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Finding Principal Components
Principal Components (PCs) are uncorrelated linear combinations $\tilde{X}_{1}, \tilde{X}_{2}, \cdots, \tilde{X}_{p}$ determined sequentially, as follows:

- The first PC is the linear combination
$\tilde{X}_{1}=\boldsymbol{c}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{1 i} X_{i}$ that maximize $\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{1}\right)$ subject to $\boldsymbol{c}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{c}_{1}=1$
(2) The second PC is the linear combination $\tilde{X}_{2}=\boldsymbol{c}_{2}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{2 i} X_{i}$ that maximize $\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{2}\right)$ subject to $\boldsymbol{c}_{2}^{T} \boldsymbol{c}_{2}=1$ and $\boldsymbol{c}_{2}^{T} \boldsymbol{c}_{1}=0$
(3) The $p_{t h} \mathrm{PC}$ is the linear combination
$\tilde{X}_{p}=\boldsymbol{c}_{p}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{p i} X_{i}$ that maximize $\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{p}\right)$ subject to $\boldsymbol{c}_{p}^{T} \boldsymbol{c}_{p}=1$ and $\boldsymbol{c}_{p}^{T} \boldsymbol{c}_{k}=0, \forall k<p$

Finding Principal Components by Decomposing Covariance Matrix

- Let $\Sigma$, the covariance matrix of $\boldsymbol{X}$, have eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs $\left(\lambda_{i}, \boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{p}$ with $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$ Then, the $k_{t h}$ principal component is given by

$$
\tilde{X}_{k}=\boldsymbol{e}_{k}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}=e_{k 1} X_{1}+e_{k 2} X_{2}+\cdots e_{k p} X_{p}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ we can perform a single matrix operation to get the coefficients to form all the PCs!

- Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, p \\
\text { Moreover } \operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{2}\right) \geq \cdots \geq \operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{p}\right) \geq 0 \\
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\tilde{X}_{j}, \tilde{X}_{k}\right)=0, \quad \forall j \neq k
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ different PCs are uncorrelated with each other

PCA and Proportion of Variance Explained

- It can be shown that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}\right)=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

- The proportion of the total variance associated with the $k_{t h}$ principal component is given by

$$
\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{p}}
$$

- If a large proportion of the total population variance (say $80 \%$ or $90 \%$ ) is explained by the first $k$ PCs, then we can restrict attention to the first $k$ PCs without much loss of information $\Rightarrow$ we achieve dimension reduction by considering $k<p$ uncorrelated components rather than the original $p$ correlated variables
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Toy Example 1
Suppose we have $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)^{T}$ where $X_{1} \sim \mathrm{~N}(0,4)$, $X_{2} \sim \mathrm{~N}(0,1)$ are independent

- Total variation $=\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}\right)=5$
- $X_{1}$ axis explains $80 \%$ of total variation
- $X_{2}$ axis explains the remaining $20 \%$ of total variation



## Toy Example 2

Suppose we have $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)^{T}$ where $X_{1} \sim \mathrm{~N}(0,4)$,
$X_{2} \sim \mathrm{~N}(0,1)$ and $\operatorname{Cor}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=0.8$

- Total variation
$=\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{X}_{2}\right)=5$
- $\tilde{X}_{1}=.9175 X_{1}+.3975 X_{2}$ explains $93.9 \%$ of total variation
- $\tilde{X}_{2}=.3975 X_{1}-.9176 X_{2}$ explains the remaining $6.1 \%$ of total variation


PCs of Standardized versus Original Variables
If we use standardized variables, i.e.,
$Z_{j}=\frac{X_{j}-\mu_{j}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{j j}}} j=1, \cdots, p$ ("z-scores"). Then we are going to work with the correlation matrix instead of the covariance matrix of $\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{p}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$

- We can obtain PCs of standardized variables by applying spectral decomposition of the correlation matrix
- However, the PCs (and the proportion of variance explained) are, in general, different than those from original variables
- If units of $p$ variables are comparable, covariance PCA may be more informative, if units of $p$ variables are incomparable, correlation PCA may be more appropriate

Principle Component
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Example: Men's 100k Road Race
The data consists of the times (in minutes) to complete successive 10k segments $(p=10)$ of the race. There are 80 racers in total $(n=80)$


Eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}$

|  | Eigenvalue | Proportion | Cumulative |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PC1 | 735.77 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| PC2 | 98.47 | 0.10 | 0.85 |
| PC3 | 53.27 | 0.05 | 0.90 |
| PC4 | 37.30 | 0.04 | 0.94 |
| PC5 | 26.04 | 0.03 | 0.97 |
| PC6 | 17.25 | 0.02 | 0.98 |
| PC7 | 8.03 | 0.01 | 0.99 |
| PC8 | 4.25 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| PC9 | 2.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| PC10 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

Much of the total variance can be explained by the first three PCs

How Many Components to Retain?
A scree plot displays the variance explained by each component
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Men's 100k Road Race Component Weights

|  | Comp.1 | Comp.2 | Comp.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0-10 time | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.36 |
| 10-20 time | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.42 |
| 20-30 time | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| 30-40 time | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.20 |
| 40-50 time | 0.31 | 0.30 | -0.13 |
| 50-60 time | 0.42 | 0.21 | -0.22 |
| 60-70 time | 0.34 | -0.05 | -0.19 |
| 70-80 time | 0.41 | -0.01 | -0.54 |
| 80-90 time | 0.40 | -0.27 | 0.15 |
| 90-100 time | 0.39 | -0.69 | 0.35 |

What these numbers mean?
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Looking for Patterns
Mature runners: Age $<40(\mathrm{M})$; Senior runners: Age
$>=40$ (S)
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Correlation PCA versus Covariance PCA
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Sea Surface Temperatures and Anomalies

- The "data" are gridded at a $2^{\circ}$ by $2^{\circ}$ resolution from $124^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-70^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The dimension of this SST data set is
2303 (number of grid points in space) $\times$
552 (monthly time series from 1970 Jan. to 2015 Dec.)
- Sea-surface temperature anomalies are the temperature differences from the climatology (i.e. long-term monthly mean temperatures)
- We will demonstrate the use of Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF) analysis to uncover the low-dimensional structure of this spatio-temporal data set

The Emipirical Orthogonal Function (EOF) Decomposition

Empirical orthogonal functions (EOFs) are the geophysicist's terminology for the eigenvectors in the eigen-decomposition of an empirical covariance matrix. In its discrete formulation, EOF analysis is simply Principal Component Analysis (PCA). EOFs are usually used

- To find principal spatial structures
- To reduce the dimension (spatially or temporally) in large spatio-temporal datasets


Principle Analysis
CLEMS*\%

Notes
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Principal
omponents Analysis in Practice

## Notes

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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Perform EOF Decomposition and Plot the First Three Modes


EOF1: The classic ENSO pattern

EOF2: A
modulation of the center
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